Knowledge BYTES by INATBA

What Blockchain in Education Tells Us About the Future of Work

INATBA
International Association for Trusted Blockchain Applications

Issue No. 4 | February 2026

Issue No. 4 | February 2026

Authors

Jolanda ter Maten, MSc, EU Blockchain Observatory and Forum

Limara Haque, Kunfud, INATBA Sustainability & Innovation Working Group Co-Chair

Issue No. 4 | February 2026

Table of Contents

Abstract **3**
From Technology to Governance **3**
1. The Skills that Matter Across Blockchain Implementations **4**
Governance Design & Power Navigation 4
Ethical Reasoning & Impact Assessment 5
Cross-Cultural Competence & Context Sensitivity 5
Stakeholder Coordination & Trust Building 5
Regulatory Navigation & Policy Literacy 6
Change Management & Human Adoption 6
2. Where These Capabilities Find Application **6**
Supply Chain & Logistics 7
Healthcare & Life Sciences 7
Financial Services & DeFi 7
Digital Identity & Privacy 8
Public Sector & Civic Applications 8
3. What Education Implementations Teach Us **8**
4. Future Trajectories & Emerging Opportunities **9**
AI & Algorithmic Governance 9
Spatial Computing & Immersive Environments 10
Sustainability & Climate Applications 10
Cross-Border Coordination & Global Governance 10
5. Entry Paths & Capability Development **10**
Conclusion **12**
References **13**

Issue No. 4 | February 2026

Abstract

As blockchain adoption moves from pilot initiatives to operational deployment, the limiting factors are no longer primarily technical. Instead, organisations increasingly confront governance complexity, regulatory coordination, ethical trade-offs, and stakeholder alignment challenges. Education, where credentials, identity, and public trust intersect, provides a particularly visible environment in which these dynamics unfold.

This article uses blockchain implementations in education as a lens to examine how work is evolving across sectors. It explores how governance design, ethical reasoning, cross-border coordination, regulatory literacy, and change management are emerging as critical capabilities alongside technical expertise. The patterns observed in education are not sector-specific; they recur across healthcare, financial services, supply chains, digital identity, and public sector applications.

By reframing blockchain adoption as an exercise in institutional design and human coordination rather than solely technical innovation, this paper highlights the growing importance of governance capacity in building resilient, trusted infrastructure. The analysis offers a forward-looking perspective on the skills and organisational capabilities that will shape the future of blockchain-enabled systems.

From Technology to Governance

Blockchain is often dismissed as hype until trust becomes the real bottleneck. In contexts like credentials, identity, and records, the promise is not “more tech”, but verifiable proof: data that can be checked instantly, shared selectively, and trusted without relying on a single platform as the ultimate gatekeeper. Standards like W3C Verifiable Credentials[1] explicitly aim for tamper-evident, cryptographically verifiable records, and European initiatives like EBSI[2] seek to establish a public trust framework around that idea, with the stated intent of putting users more in control of their data while enabling cross-border verification.

As blockchain transitions from experimentation to regulated deployment, governance capacity increasingly becomes the defining constraint.

Blockchain implementations in education reveal something interesting about how work is changing across sectors. For industry, education has emerged as one of the earliest large-scale environments where these approaches are tested under real operational conditions. When you watch organisations work to implement verifiable learning records, coordinate stakeholders with different interests, navigate privacy regulations, and ensure technology serves human goals rather than just optimising processes, you are watching patterns that repeat everywhere blockchain touches business operations. These conditions increasingly define blockchain adoption across business operations more broadly.

[1] W3C. Verifiable Credentials Data Model v2.0 (2025): https://www.w3.org/TR/vc-data-model-2.0/.
[2] European Commission. 'European Blockchain Services Infrastructure (EBSI).' Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/digital-building-blocks/sites/display/EBSI/Home.

In this sense, education functions as a living laboratory. Blockchain implementations in education therefore function as early indicators of the challenges organisations encounter as blockchain moves from experimentation to operational infrastructure. Projects like European Blockchain Service Infrastructure (EBSI) show that the hard problems are rarely technical. They involve governance, ethics, stakeholder coordination, cultural differences, and ensuring innovation does not exclude vulnerable groups. These same challenges appear in supply chain transparency[3], healthcare data systems[4], financial services, identity management[5], and any context where blockchain promises to transform how organisations operate.

As a result, blockchain adoption is reshaping the skills profile demanded by industry. The job market increasingly values capabilities that sit between technology, governance, and human systems. The professionals succeeding in blockchain implementations across sectors combine technical literacy with governance design, ethical reasoning, stakeholder facilitation, and cultural competence. Education provides a useful lens for understanding these patterns because the challenges are particularly visible, but the implications extend far beyond the education sector itself.

1. The Skills that Matter Across Blockchain Implementations

Blockchain projects across healthcare, finance, supply chain, identity, and education consistently require a shared set of non-technical capabilities, regardless of sector or use cases:

Governance Design & Power Navigation

Who controls the data? Who makes decisions? How are conflicts resolved? These questions appear whether you are implementing educational credentials, supply chain tracking, or healthcare data exchange. In industry deployments, these questions quickly become operational rather than theoretical. Research on supply chain governance highlights that blockchain's decentralisation creates "centralisation-decentralisation paradoxes" requiring careful governance frameworks[6]. Professionals who can design governance frameworks, facilitate multi-stakeholder processes, address power imbalances, and create structures that balance competing interests, prove valuable across sectors and are therefore critical to successful blockchain adoption. This work appears in consortium coordination, standards development, regulatory engagement, and any context requiring groups with different interests to cooperate. In practice, this work often sits between technical teams, legal and compliance functions, and senior leadership. The capability combines political awareness, diplomatic skill, and

[3] Hassan, M.U., et al. (2025). 'Unlocking blockchain's potential in supply chain management.' MDPI Applied Sciences. Available at: https://www.mdpi.com/2673-8732/5/3/34.
[4] Adeniyi, J.K., Ajagbe, S.A. (2025). 'A blockchain-based smart healthcare system for data protection.' iScience. Available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11978318/.
[5] Nair, A.J., Manohar, S., Rao, S. (2025). 'Self sovereign identity in e-governance: blockchain solutions for fintech compliance.' Humanities and Social Sciences Communications. Available at: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-025-05880-y.
[6] Lumineau, F., et al. (2025). 'Charting the future of blockchain in operations and supply chain management.' Journal of Operations Management. Available at: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/joom.70014.

organisational literacy, ensuring that decentralised systems remain accountable, operable, and trusted as they scale.

Ethical Reasoning & Impact Assessment

Blockchain systems raise consistent ethical questions across applications: privacy versus transparency, individual control versus organisational needs, innovation versus exclusion, efficiency versus human dignity. Whether implementing educational records, financial transactions, or supply chain verification, someone needs to identify ethical implications, facilitate stakeholder discussion about values, and ensure systems serve human flourishing rather than merely optimising processes. In industry contexts, this work increasingly appears in formal roles**.** Roles include ethics advisors, impact assessment specialists, and professionals ensuring vulnerable groups are not harmed by innovation. These roles require more than compliance knowledge. This requires moral imagination, the ability to surface unstated assumptions, and commitment to asking hard questions. Where this capability is absent, ethical risk often emerges as regulatory, reputational, or adoption risk at a later stage.

Cross-Cultural Competence & Context Sensitivity

Blockchain projects increasingly operate across cultural contexts where trust, privacy, authority, and data governance carry different meanings. Assumptions embedded in system design that function effectively in one region may fail, or cause harm, in another. What works in Northern Europe may not work in Southeast Asia, Latin America, or Sub-Saharan Africa. Self-sovereign identity and credential systems illustrate this challenge clearly. Self-sovereign identity implementations, for example, must navigate different cultural concepts of identity and governance[7]. Professionals who can navigate these differences, mediating between global standards and local practice**,** ensure solutions accommodate rather than erase diversity, and avoid imposing inappropriate models, prove essential. This matters in educational credential systems but equally in supply chain transparency, financial inclusion initiatives, or digital identity projects. Where cultural context is ignored, projects risk low adoption, regulatory friction, or unintended exclusion**.** Success requires cultural humility, international experience, and genuine respect for different approaches to shared challenges.

Stakeholder Coordination & Trust Building

Blockchain projects require coordinating parties with different incentives, concerns, and capabilities. Because no single participant typically holds unilateral control, trust must be actively constructed rather than assumed. Building trust, maintaining momentum through implementation challenges, and keeping stakeholders engaged demands interpersonal skills and strategic thinking. Whether coordinating universities and employers around credentials, supply chain partners around tracking systems, or healthcare providers around data exchange, the underlying coordination challenge remains structurally similar.. In industry practice, these responsibilities are increasingly formalised into distinct

[7] Sullivan, C., Burger, E. (2020). 'Digital identity and the blockchain: universal identity management.' Frontiers in Blockchain. Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/blockchain/articles/10.3389/fbloc.2020.00026/full.

roles, including partnership managers, consortium coordinators, and ecosystem builders. This work requires patience, diplomatic capability, and a sustained commitment to collective outcomes, particularly in environments where commercial competition, regulatory scrutiny, and public trust intersect. Optimising for any single participant at the expense of the broader ecosystem typically undermines long-term adoption and system resilience.

Regulatory Navigation & Policy Literacy

Blockchain intersects with data protection law, sector-specific regulations, cross-border legal frameworks, and evolving policy environments. These regulatory dimensions are not peripheral; they shape system architecture, governance models, and operational viability. Healthcare implementations must navigate HIPAA in the United States and GDPR in Europe[8], while supply chain projects face fragmented and jurisdiction-specific regulatory obligations across borders.[9]. As a result, successful blockchain adoption depends on professionals who can translate regulatory intent into practical system design. Those able to interpret regulatory requirements, engage productively with policymakers, and help organisations navigate compliance while pursuing innovation prove valuable across sectors. This combines legal understanding with practical implementation experience and the ability to communicate across technical and policy domains.

Change Management & Human Adoption

Technology succeeds or fails not on technical merit alone, but on sustained human adoption. Implementing blockchain typically requires changing existing processes, building organisational capabilities, addressing resistance, and sustaining commitment through challenges. This appears consistently whether introducing blockchain in education, healthcare, finance, or supply chain. In practice, human adoption is often the primary constraint on scaling blockchain systems**.** Change management specialists, organisational development consultants, and adoption strategists prove as valuable as technical developers. It also requires an explicit recognition that blockchain is an enabling infrastructure, not an end in itself. Success requires empathy, communication skills, realistic assessment of organisational capacity, and understanding that technology serves people rather than the reverse.

2. Where These Capabilities Find Application

The capabilities described above prove valuable across multiple sectors, where blockchain adoption introduces similar governance, coordination, and human challenges. As implementations mature, these capabilities increasingly determine scalability and long-term system resilience.:

[8] Shah, M.A., et al. (2025). 'Advancing compliance with HIPAA and GDPR in healthcare: a blockchain-based strategy.' PMC. Available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12563691/.

[9] Frontiers in Sustainability (2025). 'Digital transformation in supply chains.' Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/sustainability/articles/10.3389/frsus.2025.1584580/full.

Supply Chain & Logistics

Blockchain supply chain projects require coordinating manufacturers, distributors, retailers, and regulators with different data access needs and privacy concerns. Recent research emphasises that blockchain adoption faces challenges, including high implementation costs, privacy concerns, interoperability barriers, and the need for clear governance frameworks[10]. Governance designers create frameworks for data sharing, ethics advisors address worker surveillance concerns, change managers help organisations adopt new processes, and cultural specialists ensure solutions work across different business contexts. Roles include consortium coordinators for industry initiatives, sustainability verification specialists, and anti-counterfeiting programme managers. In global supply chains, cross-border regulatory alignment and trust between commercial competitors further elevate the importance of governance capacity.

Healthcare & Life Sciences

Healthcare blockchain projects balance patient privacy, research data sharing, regulatory compliance, and clinical workflow integration. The global blockchain in healthcare market is projected to reach USD 193 billion by 2034[11], driven by needs for secure data management and interoperability[12]. Professionals navigating these tensions include health data governance specialists, clinical adoption coordinators, patient privacy advocates, and regulatory affairs experts. The work combines healthcare domain knowledge with governance design, ethical reasoning, and stakeholder coordination. As healthcare systems operate within strict ethical and regulatory frameworks, governance misalignment can quickly translate into public trust risk.

Financial Services & DeFi

Finance blockchain implementations require navigating highly complex and rapidly evolving regulatory environments , coordinating institutions with competitive relationships, and ensuring consumer protection while enabling innovation. Unlike many other sectors, financial deployments operate within tightly supervised ecosystems where compliance, systemic risk, and market integrity are central considerations.

Blockchain adoption in financial services therefore demands professionals who can operate at the intersection of technology, regulation, and market structure. Roles include regulatory technology specialists, financial inclusion programme designers, governance framework developers for decentralised finance protocols, and compliance coordinators responsible for aligning innovation initiatives with supervisory expectations.

In institutional contexts, these roles often act as stabilising forces, ensuring that blockchain-enabled products and infrastructure maintain alignment with

[10] Management Review Quarterly (2025). 'Blockchain in supply chain management: success measurement methods.' Available at: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11301-025-00546-0.
[11] Paubox (2025). 'Securing healthcare data with blockchain in 2025.' Available at: https://www.paubox.com/blog/securing-healthcare-data-with-blockchain-in-2025.
[12] National Center for Biotechnology Information (2025). 'Harnessing blockchain to transform healthcare data management.' PMC. Available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11073478/.

prudential requirements, conduct standards, and cross-border regulatory obligations. Success in this domain requires the ability to balance innovation with risk management, competitive positioning with ecosystem cooperation, and decentralisation narratives with accountability frameworks. Where governance frameworks are unclear, financial deployments face heightened supervisory scrutiny and adoption barriers.

Digital Identity & Privacy

Identity systems require exceptionally careful governance and privacy protection. Self-sovereign identity approaches aim to give individuals control over their digital identities, but implementations face challenges around governance, interoperability, and ensuring accessibility across different technical contexts[13]. Professionals working in this space include privacy engineers, identity governance specialists, user experience designers ensuring accessibility, and policy advisors navigating data protection regulations. Success requires combining technical capability with a deep understanding of privacy principles, cultural differences in identity concepts, and commitment to protecting vulnerable users. Identity underpins many blockchain-enabled services, weaknesses in governance at this layer can cascade across entire ecosystems.

Public Sector & Civic Applications

Government blockchain projects for land registries, voting systems, and public records require particular attention to transparency, accountability, and public trust. Roles include public sector innovation specialists, civic technology designers, transparency and anti-corruption advisors, and digital government programme managers. Work serves public interests rather than commercial objectives and requires understanding democratic governance principles. In these contexts, governance legitimacy is inseparable from democratic accountability and societal trust.

3. What Education Implementations Teach Us

Education blockchain projects reveal patterns that repeat across sectors:

Stakeholder diversity creates complexity. Educational credential systems involve universities, employers, professional bodies, governments, and learners with different priorities. Supply chains involve similar diversity. Healthcare involves patients, providers, payers, and regulators. The challenge of coordinating diverse stakeholders with different power and interests appears consistently.

Privacy and control create tension. Education struggles with balancing learner privacy and institutional needs, employer verification requirements and data minimisation. Similar tensions appear in healthcare data sharing, supply chain transparency, and financial privacy. Professionals who can navigate these trade-offs prove valuable across contexts.

[13] Dock.io (2025). 'Self sovereign identity: the ultimate guide.' Available at: https://www.dock.io/post/self-sovereign-identity.

Cultural context shapes implementation. What works in one educational system may not work in another due to different traditions, regulatory frameworks, and social structures. This applies equally to supply chain norms, healthcare practices, and financial systems. Solutions requiring cultural sensitivity in education require similar sensitivity elsewhere.

Inclusion requires deliberate design. Education blockchain risks excluding learners whose learning happened in non-digitised contexts. Supply chain blockchain risks excluding small producers. Healthcare blockchain risks excluding those without digital access. Financial blockchain risks excluding the unbanked. Addressing these exclusion risks requires intentional inclusive design across sectors.

Governance matters more than technology. Educational implementations succeed or fail primarily based on governance frameworks, not technical architecture. Clear decision-making structures, transparent accountability mechanisms, and agreed rules for data access and dispute resolution determine long-term viability far more than protocol selection or coding efficiency. The same applies in supply chain consortia, healthcare data networks, and financial infrastructure. Where governance is weak, technical robustness does not prevent fragmentation, mistrust, or operational breakdown. Conversely, well-designed governance can stabilise systems even when technical components evolve over time.

As blockchain evolves to become part of critical infrastructure, governance capacity becomes a strategic asset.

Governance designers prove more valuable than additional developers. In this context, governance capability shifts from supportive function to core infrastructure competency. In operational environments, governance designers, consortium leads, and institutional coordinators frequently determine whether blockchain systems scale sustainably or stall after initial deployment.

4. Future Trajectories & Emerging Opportunities

As blockchain adoption matures from pilot initiatives to operational infrastructure, new technology convergence and regulatory pressures are accelerating demand for governance, ethics, and coordination capabilities. As systems become more embedded in regulated and cross-sector environments, these capabilities shift from supportive functions to structural requirements. Several developments will further increase demand for these skills across sectors:

AI & Algorithmic Governance

Blockchain plus AI creates algorithmic decision systems requiring governance frameworks ensuring accountability, transparency, and human agency. Whether AI systems are making educational recommendations, supply chain optimisation decisions, or financial trading choices, someone needs to design governance ensuring these systems serve human values. Opportunities include AI ethics specialists, algorithmic auditing roles, and governance framework designers. In practice, this work often involves defining oversight mechanisms, escalation

pathways, and auditability standards for automated decision environments. It may also require clarifying liability, explainability standards, and human override mechanisms within hybrid decision systems. This capability combines AI understanding with ethical reasoning and stakeholder coordination.

Spatial Computing & Immersive Environments

VR and AR combined with blockchain for digital ownership and identity create new governance challenges. Virtual training environments are increasingly using blockchain for credential verification, with blockchain-based certification providing tamper-proof digital credentials[14]. Whether virtual training environments, immersive collaboration spaces, or metaverse economies, questions arise about property rights, identity verification, and user protection. Roles include metaverse governance specialists, immersive experience ethics advisors, and virtual economy designers. Success requires understanding both immersive technologies and their social implications.

Sustainability & Climate Applications

Blockchain applications in carbon markets, supply chain sustainability, and environmental impact tracking require professionals combining technical capability with environmental expertise and governance design skills. Challenges include ensuring sustainability claims are verifiable, preventing greenwashing, and coordinating international climate initiatives. Opportunities span sustainability verification specialists, carbon market governance designers, and environmental impact tracking coordinators. As regulatory scrutiny of ESG claims intensifies, governance and verification capacity will become increasingly central to credibility.

Cross-Border Coordination & Global Governance

As blockchain projects increasingly operate internationally, demand grows for professionals who can coordinate across jurisdictions, navigate different regulatory frameworks, and facilitate international cooperation. This appears in educational credential recognition, supply chain tracking crossing borders, financial systems operating globally, and any blockchain application with an international scope. Capabilities include international policy coordination, cross-border governance design, and cultural mediation across regulatory traditions. Where global coordination is weak, fragmentation and regulatory divergence can undermine scalability and trust.

5. Entry Paths & Capability Development

As demand grows for governance, ethical, and coordination capabilities in blockchain deployments, professionals can enter and develop in this field through multiple pathways. These roles do not require a single disciplinary background, but they do require intentional capability development aligned with operational realities. Those from sector domains, including education, healthcare, supply

[14] Program-Ace (2025). 'Metaverse training: the main benefits and use cases.' Available at: https://program-ace.com/blog/metaverse-training/.

chain, or finance, bring a deep understanding of specific contexts. Development involves building blockchain literacy while maintaining domain expertise, identifying how existing knowledge applies to blockchain implementations, and seeking roles where domain understanding informs technology design and governance structures.

Professionals from governance, policy, or law understand regulatory frameworks, institutional dynamics, and political processes. Entry strategies include focusing on governance design and policy coordination roles, engaging with blockchain projects requiring regulatory navigation, and emphasising capabilities in multi-stakeholder facilitation and institutional framework development. In operational settings, these professionals often act as translators between regulatory intent and technical architecture.

Those from change management, organisational development, or consulting can apply existing capabilities to blockchain adoption challenges. Development involves understanding blockchain technology sufficiently to engage credibly while focusing on human dimensions of implementation.

Technology professionals can shift from purely technical roles toward governance, ethics, and human-centred work. This requires studying ethical frameworks, engaging with diverse stakeholders, developing communication capabilities, and committing to ensuring technology serves human purposes. In mature deployments, technical fluency combined with governance awareness becomes a distinguishing advantage.

Conclusion

Blockchain implementations across sectors create demand for capabilities beyond technical development. Governance design, ethical reasoning, stakeholder coordination, cultural competence, regulatory navigation, and change management are not supplementary considerations; they are foundational to operational success, whether implementing educational credentials, supply chain tracking, healthcare data exchange, or financial infrastructure.

Education provides a useful lens for understanding these patterns because the governance challenges are particularly visible. Multi-stakeholder coordination, privacy tensions, cultural differences, inclusion risks, and the primacy of governance over technology appear clearly in educational contexts. However, these patterns are not sector-specific. They recur consistently across healthcare, finance, supply chains, identity systems, and public sector deployments.

The professionals succeeding in blockchain projects combine technical literacy with capabilities often undervalued in technology contexts. Understanding power dynamics, facilitating stakeholder dialogue, navigating cultural differences, ensuring ethical implementation, and managing organisational change prove as valuable as coding skills. The job market increasingly rewards individuals who can operate across technical, organisational, and human dimensions simultaneously.

Future developments in AI integration, spatial computing, sustainability applications, and cross-border coordination will intensify these patterns. The demand for governance designers, ethics specialists, cultural mediators, and change facilitators will likely grow as blockchain moves from experimentation to operational infrastructure across sectors.

For professionals and organisations alike, the central lesson is clear. Blockchain implementation is not primarily a technical exercise; it is an exercise in institutional design and human coordination. Technology provides the tools, but governance determines durability. For professionals considering blockchain careers, success requires approaching implementations not primarily as technical challenges but as fundamentally human endeavours requiring wisdom, empathy, political awareness, and commitment to ensuring technology serves human flourishing. The capabilities that matter most are those enabling navigation of complex stakeholder environments, design of accountable governance frameworks, ensuring that innovation strengthens rather than undermines trust. In infrastructure-grade blockchain systems, long-term value is created not by code alone, but by the choices institutions make about how that code is governed and applied, balancing competing interests, and ensuring innovation benefits rather than harms vulnerable groups. The technology matters less than what we choose to do with it.

References

Adeniyi, J.K., Ajagbe, S.A. (2025). 'A blockchain-based smart healthcare system for data protection.' iScience. Available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11978318/.

Dock.io (2025). 'Self sovereign identity: the ultimate guide.' Available at: https://www.dock.io/post/self-sovereign-identity.

European Commission. 'European Blockchain Services Infrastructure (EBSI).' Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/digital-building-blocks/sites/display/EBSI/Home.

Frontiers in Sustainability (2025). 'Digital transformation in supply chains.' Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/sustainability/articles/10.3389/frsus.2025.1584580/full.

Hassan, M.U., et al. (2025). 'Unlocking blockchain's potential in supply chain management.' MDPI Applied Sciences. Available at: https://www.mdpi.com/2673-8732/5/3/34.

Lumineau, F., et al. (2025). 'Charting the future of blockchain in operations and supply chain management.' Journal of Operations Management. Available at: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/joom.70014.

Management Review Quarterly (2025). 'Blockchain in supply chain management: success measurement methods.' Available at: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11301-025-00546-0.

Nair, A.J., Manohar, S., Rao, S. (2025). 'Self sovereign identity in e-governance: blockchain solutions for fintech compliance.' Humanities and Social Sciences Communications. Available at: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-025-05880-y.

National Center for Biotechnology Information (2025). 'Harnessing blockchain to transform healthcare data management.' PMC. Available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11073478/.

Paubox (2025). 'Securing healthcare data with blockchain in 2025.' Available at: https://www.paubox.com/blog/securing-healthcare-data-with-blockchain-in-2025.

Program-Ace (2025). 'Metaverse training: the main benefits and use cases.' Available at: https://program-ace.com/blog/metaverse-training/.

Shah, M.A., et al. (2025). 'Advancing compliance with HIPAA and GDPR in healthcare: a blockchain-based strategy.' PMC. Available at: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12563691/.

Sullivan, C., Burger, E. (2020). 'Digital identity and the blockchain: universal identity management.' Frontiers in Blockchain. Available at: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/blockchain/articles/10.3389/fbloc.2020.00026/full.

W3C. Verifiable Credentials Data Model v2.0 (2025): https://www.w3.org/TR/vc-data-model-2.0/.

Coming in March in Knowledge BYTES by INATBA: exploring real-world assets and what they mean for today's digital economy.

Contact details

Website inatba.org

Contact contact@inatba.org

Join INATBA membership@inatba.org